education analytics

LINKING STUDY BETWEEN SOUTH CAROLINA COLLEGE- AND CAREER READY ASSESSMENT (SC READY) AND STAR ASSESSMENT, GRADES 3-8

• February 2024

110 E Main Street, Ste. 1000 Madison, WI 53703

608.466.4966

edanalytics.org

CONTENTS

Introduction	3
Methods	3
Data	3
Post-Stratification Weighting	3
Equipercentile Linking	4
Extending from Spring to Fall and Winter	5
Classification Accuracy	5
Results	7
Study Sample	7
SC READY and STAR Cut-Score Equivalents	.12
Classification Accuracy	.14
Conclusions	.15
References	.17

INTRODUCTION

In the spring of 2023, the South Carolina Education Oversight Committee (SC EOC), in collaboration with the South Carolina Department of Education (SCDE), partnered with Education Analytics (EA) to complete a level-linking study between the South Carolina Collegeand Career-Ready Assessment (SC READY) in Mathematics and English Language Arts (ELA) and Renaissance Learning's STAR interim assessments in Mathematics and Reading, respectively. This report outlines the methodology used by EA and the outcomes of the linking study. The goal of this report is to statistically connect the SC READY and STAR assessments' scale scores in grades 3-8 to facilitate further comparisons of proficiency status on these two assessments.

METHODS

Data

This linking study used data from the SC READY and STAR Mathematics and ELA/Reading assessments administered in Spring 2023.¹ Students were matched through their state IDs or district IDs. Only matched students who took the STAR assessments within 30 days of SC READY² in spring 2023 were included in this study.

Post-Stratification Weighting

To increase the generalizability of the linking results based on the matched student sample to South Carolina's student population, EA applied post-stratification weights to the calculations. The variables used in the weighting process include gender, race/ethnicity, English learner (EL) status, poverty status, disability status, and whether a student met or exceeded standards on the same subject SC READY assessment. Through post-stratification weighting, the weighted study sample provides a closer match with South Carolina state population on these key demographic and academic performance variables than the original sample.

Raking was used to calculate the post-stratification weights. Raking involves an iterative proportional fitting procedure, which introduces each demographic and academic variable in a sequence so that it ensures the sample accurately represents the population of all variables

¹ EA also explored data from Spring 2021 and Spring 2022 but agrees with SC EOC and SCDE that linking results from Spring 2023 are preferred given they are the furthest from COVID impacts and the most recently available data. The research sample sizes from 2023 are sufficient and the model diagnostics are good; therefore, linking results from Spring 2023 are reported.

² The SC READY data do not include the actual test administration dates, so this is an approximation based on <u>SCDE's 2022–23 Assessment Schedule</u>.

under consideration. The variables are introduced one at a time, which allows for the incorporation of more variables in the weighting procedure. The raking procedure includes the following steps:

- 1. Collect marginal distributions of each weighting variable from South Carolina's student population.
- 2. Calculate marginal distributions of each weighting variable from the matched sample.
- 3. Calibrate post-stratification weights using the raking procedure.
- 4. Trim the weight to be within the range of 0.3 and 3. This is done to minimize the impact of outlier cases which may carry extremely large or small weights.
- 5. Apply the weights to the matched sample before conducting the linking analyses.

Equipercentile Linking

The linking analyses between SC READY and STAR assessments were conducted using the equipercentile linking method (Kolen & Brennan, 2004). The equipercentile linking function is determined by the cumulative distribution functions of the two assessments. In the linking process, the cumulative distribution function of scores on the spring STAR assessment converted to the SC READY score scale is aligned to the cumulative distribution function of scores on SC READY. More specifically, this process utilizes percentile ranks, which indicates the percentage of scores in the frequency distribution that fall below a particular score. Equipercentile linking then establishes the relationship between the two sets of test scores by identifying corresponding percentile ranks of the test scores. Thus, we can establish scores on the spring STAR assessment that are aligned to the three SC READY achievement level cut scores (i.e., cut score between Does Not Meet Expectations and Approaches Expectations, cut score between Approaches Expectations and Meets Expectations, and cut score between Meets Expectations and Standard Expectations) at grades 3-8. The linking function can be written as:

$$e_Y(x) = G^{-1}[F(x)]$$

where x represent a score on test X (e.g., SC READY ELA), $e_Y(x)$ is its corresponding score on test Y (e.g., STAR Reading), F(x) is the cumulative distribution function of a given score on SC READY, and G^{-1} is the inverse of the cumulative distribution function for STAR, which indicates the STAR scale score corresponding to a given percentile in the distribution.

Prior to the equipercentile linking, the polynomial log-linear pre-smoothing method is applied to reduce irregularities of the test score distributions. This method fits polynomial functions to the log of the sample density to smooth the distributions of the assessments (Holland & Thayer, 1987, 2000; Rosenbaum & Thayer, 1987).

Extending from Spring to Fall and Winter

To support the needs of SC EOC and SCDE to extend linked STAR test scores from spring to the fall and winter terms, EA also estimated scores needed to meet expectations of the SC READY test in the fall and winter terms prior to the spring term in grades 3-8. This was done by calculating the mean STAR scores in each term, subject, and grade in 2022-23 among all SC students who took the STAR test. The average change in scores between fall and spring, and winter and spring were subtracted from the spring cut scores determined by the linking analyses. These fall and winter cut scores are reported along with spring cut scores in the results section.

Classification Accuracy

Classification accuracy statistics are used to evaluate the degree to which the equivalent scores on the spring STAR assessment to the SC READY achievement level cut scores can be used to accurately classify students' proficiency status. In this report, we summarize seven types of commonly used classification accuracy statistics (see Table 1) based on the cut score between Approaches Expectations (i.e., not proficient) and Meets Expectations (i.e., proficient).

To facilitate appropriate interpretations of the linking results, a bootstrap analysis was also conducted whereby each linking analysis was replicated 1000 times through iterative resampling of each study sample with replacement. The bootstrap standard errors help us understand the amount of error associated with the estimates. The bootstrap standard errors associated with the test cut scores are reported in Table 10.

Statistic	Description
Overall Classification Accuracy	Proportion of the study sample with correct proficiency classifications on SC READY based on STAR cut scores. Calculated as
	(TP+TN)/Total Sample Size
False Positive (FP) Rate	Proportion of proficient students based on STAR cut scores among those observed as not proficient on the SC READY test. Calculated as FP/(FP+TN)
False Negative (FN) Rate	Proportion of students who were not proficient based on STAR cut scores among those observed as proficient on the SC READY test. Calculated as FN/(FN+TP)
Sensitivity	Proportion of proficient students based on STAR cut scores among those observed as proficient on the SC READY test. Calculated as TP/(TP+FN)
Specificity	Proportion of students who were not proficient based on STAR cut scores among those observed as not proficient on the SC READY test. Calculated as TN/(TN+FP)
Precision	Proportion of observed proficient students on the SC READY test among those classified as proficient based on STAR cut scores. Calculated as TP/(TP+FP)
Area Under the Curve (AUC)	An overall indication of the diagnostic accuracy of a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. AUC tells us how well the STAR cut score separates the study sample as proficient and not proficient in accordance with the SC READY ELA test cut score. An AUC above 0.80 is considered "convincing evidence" of classification accuracy.

Table 1. Description of Classification Accuracy Summary Statistics

Note: TP = true positive; TN = true negative; FP = false positive; FN = false negative.

Figure 1 is a scatterplot of the SC READY and STAR Mathematics scores from grade 3 in Spring 2023. The best-fitting curve (i.e., the black dashed line) shows the STAR Mathematics scores that correspond to the SC READY Mathematics scores through the linking estimation. For example, the SC READY Mathematics score of 438 is the cut score for "Meets Expectations" at grade 3. This score corresponds to the STAR Mathematics score of 590 with a standard error of 2.5 in the linking results. The narrow black bands plotted around the dashed curve shows the 95% confidence interval. The small standard errors provide evidence of the accuracy of the linking model. However, the SC READY Mathematics score of 438 and the STAR Mathematics score of 590 should not be used interchangeably. As shown in Figure 1, not all students who scored 590 and above on the STAR Mathematics test also scored 438 or higher on the SC READY Mathematics test in Spring 2023. Specifically, students in Quadrant IV scored lower

than 438. Similarly, students who met or exceeded expectations (i.e., scored 438 or above) on the SC READY Mathematics test, had a wide range of scores on the STAR Mathematics test, some of which were below 590 (i.e., students in Quadrant II). We recommend users examine the scatterplot of observed test scores and bootstrap standard errors to gain a more complete understanding of the linking results and associated limitations.



Figure 1. Scatterplot of the SC READY and STAR Mathematics, Grade 3, Spring 2023

RESULTS

Study Sample

The linking study sample includes students who took both the SC READY and STAR Mathematics and ELA/Reading assessments within 30 days in Spring 2023 from 10 school districts in South Carolina. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the sample characteristics, including student demographic subgroups (i.e., gender, race/ethnicity, poverty, EL, and disability status) and percent of students who met or exceeded standards on the SC READY Mathematics and ELA assessments at each grade in the original sample before post-stratification weighting.

		Percent of Students by Grade					
Subgroup	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Female	49.5	49.4	49.7	50.0	49.0	49.4	
Male	50.5	50.6	50.3	50.0	51.0	50.6	
Black	34.3	34.2	34.1	39.6	40.8	39.7	
Hispanic	11.2	11.4	11.5	12.0	11.6	12.2	
White	43.8	43.3	44.8	38.0	38.0	38.8	
Other	10.8	11.0	9.6	10.3	9.7	9.2	
Pupil in Poverty	57.3	57.0	56.3	56.5	57.5	56.1	
English Learner	11.2	7.6	8.1	7.7	8.4	7.7	
Student with Disabilities	14.4	14.8	14.4	14.9	15.1	14.1	
SC READY: Meets Expectations or Exceeds Expectations SC READY: Does Not Meet	56.0	50.5	47.2	39.3	35.4	38.7	
Expectations or Approaches Expectations	44.0	49.5	52.8	60.7	64.6	61.3	

Table 2. Unweighted Linking Study Sample Characteristics: Mathematics

Table 3. Unweighted Linking Study Sample Characteristics: ELA

Cubdraum	Percent of Students by Grade						
Subgroup	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Female	49.4	48.7	49.7	50.0	48.6	49.2	
Male	50.6	51.3	50.3	50.1	51.4	50.8	
Black	35.0	34.7	34.5	40.0	41.1	39.6	
Hispanic	10.8	11.4	11.4	11.8	11.5	12.4	
White	43.6	43.2	44.5	38.1	37.9	38.8	
Other	10.5	10.7	9.6	10.1	9.6	9.3	
Pupil in Poverty	58.6	57.6	56.8	56.8	57.4	55.7	
English Learner	10.8	7.7	8.1	7.6	8.2	7.8	
Student with Disabilities	14.1	14.4	14.9	15.1	15.1	14.0	
SC READY: Meets Expectations or Exceeds Expectations	54.4	58.7	55.7	53.6	51.5	55.6	
SC READY: Does Not Meet Expectations or Approaches	45.6	41.3	44.3	46.4	48.5	44.4	
Expectations							

Distributions of the weighting variables in the South Carolina student population are listed in Table 4. After adjusting for post-stratification weights, the sample characteristics were recalculated. They are shown in Tables 5 and 6 at each grade level for mathematics and ELA, respectively. After weighting, the sample distributions are almost identical to the population distributions.

	Percent of Students by Grade							
Subgroup	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Female	48.8	49.1	49.1	48.9	49.2	49.4		
Male	51.2	50.9	50.9	51.1	50.8	50.6		
Black	30.3	30.7	31.0	31.4	32.0	32.0		
Hispanic	12.7	12.6	12.9	12.9	13.2	12.6		
White	48.3	48.1	47.9	47.7	47.2	48.0		
Others	8.7	8.6	8.3	7.9	7.7	7.4		
Pupil in Poverty	62.8	63.0	62.4	62.0	61.7	61.0		
English Learner	11.4	8.6	8.7	9.0	9.7	8.7		
Student with Disabilities	16.7	15.9	14.9	14.3	13.9	12.9		
SC READY Math: Meets								
Expectations or Exceeds	53.6	47.0	44.7	36.6	31.0	31.6		
Expectations								
SC READY Math: Does Not Meet								
Expectations or Approaches	46.4	53.0	55.3	63.4	69.0	68.4		
Expectations								
SC READY ELA: Meets								
Expectations or Exceeds	53.4	57.1	55.2	53.4	50.0	53.1		
Expectations								
SC READY ELA: Does Not Meet								
Expectations or Approaches	46.6	42.9	44.8	46.6	50.0	46.9		
Expectations								

Table 4. South Carolina Student Population Characteristics

Sources: <u>https://ed.sc.gov/data/test-scores/state-assessments/sc-ready/2023/state-scores-by-grade-level-and-demographic/?districtCode=9999&schoolCode=1001</u>

Note: Information in this table is based on students who took the 2023 SC READY Mathematics and ELA statewide tests. In the few cases where students' race/ethnicity and poverty status differ by 0.1%, numbers shown are the average of percentages from mathematics and ELA.

	Percent of Students by Grade							
Subgroup	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Female	48.8	49.1	49.1	48.9	49.2	49.4		
Male	51.2	50.9	50.9	51.1	50.8	50.6		
Black	30.3	30.7	31.0	31.4	32.0	32.0		
Hispanic	12.7	12.6	12.8	12.9	13.1	12.6		
White	48.4	48.1	47.9	47.7	47.3	48.0		
Other	8.7	8.6	8.3	7.9	7.7	7.4		
Pupil in Poverty	62.8	63.0	62.4	62.0	61.8	61.0		
English Learner	11.4	8.6	8.7	9.0	9.7	8.7		
Student with Disabilities	16.7	15.9	14.9	14.3	13.9	12.9		
SC READY: Meets Expectations or Exceeds Expectations SC READY: Does Not Meet	53.6	47.0	44.7	36.6	31.0	31.6		
Expectations or Approaches Expectations	46.4	53.0	55.3	63.4	69.0	68.4		

Table 5. Weighted Linking Study Sample Characteristics: Mathematics

Table 6. Weighted Linking Study Sample Characteristics: ELA

Cubaraun	Percent of Students by Grade						
Subgroup	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Female	48.8	49.1	49.1	48.9	49.2	49.4	
Male	51.2	50.9	50.9	51.1	50.8	50.6	
Black	30.3	30.7	31.0	31.5	32.0	32.0	
Hispanic	12.7	12.6	12.9	12.9	13.1	12.6	
White	48.3	48.1	47.9	47.7	47.3	48.0	
Other	8.7	8.6	8.3	7.9	7.7	7.4	
Pupil in Poverty	62.8	63.1	62.4	62.0	61.7	61.0	
English Learner	11.4	8.6	8.7	9.0	9.7	8.7	
Student with Disabilities	16.7	15.9	14.9	14.3	13.9	12.9	
SC READY: Meets Expectations or Exceeds Expectations	53.4	57.1	55.2	53.4	50.0	53.1	
SC READY: Does Not Meet Expectations or Approaches	46.6	42.9	44.8	46.6	50.0	46.9	
Expectations							

Descriptive Statistics of Test Scores

Table 7 presents summary statistics of the SC READY and STAR Mathematics and ELA/Reading scores using the unweighted linking sample, which include the sample size, mean and standard deviation, and correlation (r) between the tests at each grade level. The correlations range from 0.80 (grade 7, Mathematics) to 0.88 (grades 3 and 6, ELA) which indicate moderate to strong associations between the two tests. This provides a good foundation for conducting a linking study between the SC Ready and STAR Mathematics and ELA/Reading tests.

		Grade							
	-	3	4	5	6	7	8		
Mathematics									
	Ν	4821	4821	4772	3783	3849	3878		
	r	0.82	0.85	0.83	0.82	0.80	0.78		
	Mean	466.1	497.8	544.9	525.4	553.5	590.4		
	S.D.	122.1	130.4	118.4	116.0	115.8	113.4		
SC READY	Min.	183	218	294	194	307	332		
	Max.	825	850	875	900	925	950		
	Mean	590.2	663.1	709.1	724.3	753.4	776.9		
0 7 4 D	S.D.	112.9	120.6	125.0	139.3	142.4	138.7		
STAR	Min.	98	161	185	186	181	0		
	Max.	948	1064	1095	1183	1250	1260		
			ELA						
	Ν	5134	4967	4903	3867	3905	3845		
	r	0.88	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.87	0.86		
	Mean	466.6	542.1	580.7	585.5	620.5	656.7		
	S.D.	130.1	129.2	132.2	135.2	127.1	126.1		
SC READ I	Min.	100	261	269	267	303	333		
	Max.	825	850	872	900	925	950		
	Mean	416.1	518.8	607.7	678.2	740.5	813.1		
CT A D	S.D.	194.6	226.5	254.2	301.5	314.9	327.9		
STAR	Min.	8	8	6	8	8	8		
	Max.	1281	1340	1346	1348	1347	1351		

Table 7. Descriptive Statistics of SC READY and STAR Mathematics and ELA/Reading Scores

SC READY and STAR Cut-Score Equivalents

Tables 8 and 9 present the linking results between SC READY and STAR spring tests for mathematics and ELA, respectively. The top panel shows the ranges of SC READY scale scores at each proficiency level and each grade in 2022-23. The bottom panel shows the corresponding STAR scores.

	SC READY						
Grade	Does Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds			
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations			
3	100-359	360-437	438-542	543-825			
4	100-400	401-480	481-562	563-850			
5	100-447	448-534	535-621	622-875			
6	100-452	453-542	543-626	627-900			
7	100-487	488-576	577-648	649-925			
8	100-526	527-614	615-682	683-950			
		Renaissa	nce STAR				
	Does Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds			
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations			
3	0-515	516-589	590-664	665-1400			
4	0-606	607-677	678-733	734-1400			
5	0-625	626-734	735-803	804-1400			
6	0-673	674-783	784-847	848-1400			
7	0-711	712-822	823-878	879-1400			
8	0-738	739-835	836-892	893-1400			

Table 8. SC READY and STAR Cut Score Equivalents (Spring): Mathematics

	SC READY						
Grade	Does Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds			
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations			
3	100-358	359-451	452-539	540-825			
4	100-418	419-508	509-591	592-850			
5	100-448	449-556	557-652	653-875			
6	100-454	455-574	576-666	667-900			
7	100-511	512-614	615-703	704-925			
8	100-536	537-641	642-736	737-950			
		Renaissa	nce STAR				
	Does Not Meet	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds			
	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations	Expectations			
3	0-268	269-398	399-514	515-1400			
4	0-331	332-470	471-589	590-1400			
5	0-397	398-549	550-703	704-1400			
6	0-423	424-621	622-815	816-1400			
7	0-500	501-719	720-924	925-1400			
8	0-524	525-753	754-1040	1041-1400			

Table 9. SC READY and STAR Cut Score Equivalents (Spring): ELA

The bootstrap standard errors of each equivalent STAR cut scores are listed in Tables 10 and 11 for Mathematics and ELA, respectively. They are relatively smalls across all linking studies conducted across grades 3-8, test subjects, and performance levels. This gives us evidence supporting the accuracy of the linking results. However, it is also important to keep in mind that linking is a statistical procedure to estimate the equivalence between two sets of test scores and, therefore, linking results contain estimation error.

Renaissance STAR Scores Reaching Performance Level								
Grade	Approaches Expectations		Meets Exp	ectations	Exceeds Expectations			
	Cut Score	S.E.	Cut Score	S.E.	Cut Score	S.E.		
3	516	3.53	590	2.50	665	2.07		
4	607	3.18	678	2.56	734	2.37		
5	626	3.80	735	2.76	804	2.44		
6	674	4.65	784	3.47	848	3.13		
7	712	3.99	823	3.09	879	3.03		
8	739	3.49	836	2.98	893	3.02		

Table 10. Equivalent STAR Cut Score (Spring) Bootstrap Standard Errors: Mathematics

	Renaissance Scores Reaching Performance Level								
Grade	Approaches Expectations		Meets Exp	ectations	Exceeds Expectations				
	Cut Score	S.E.	Cut Score	S.E.	Cut Score	S.E.			
3	269	5.23	399	4.23	515	4.27			
4	332	6.08	471	5.14	590	5.15			
5	398	5.40	550	5.25	704	6.65			
6	424	7.23	622	8.26	816	9.71			
7	501	8.06	720	8.58	925	10.50			
8	525	8.30	754	9.26	1041	12.45			

Table 11. Equiv	alent STAR Cu	t Score (Spring) Bootstrap S	Standard Errors: ELA
-----------------	---------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------------

The section above summarizes the linking results from the spring term. Linked STAR test scores were also extended from the spring to the fall and winter terms for the scores reaching performance level "Meets Expectations." These scores are summarized in Table 12. Note that these linked scores were calculated based on the mean STAR scores within each term among all SC students who took the STAR test. Therefore, they reflect expected score equivalents on *average* among these students and thereby should not be interpreted as accurate estimations for every individual student. The estimation errors around the fall and the winter scores will be larger than those around the spring scores.

Grade —	Mathematics			ELA			
	Fall	Winter	Spring	Fall	Winter	Spring	
3	511	555	590	308	359	399	
4	604	644	678	385	436	471	
5	679	711	735	470	519	550	
6	747	770	784	561	598	622	
7	784	805	823	646	685	720	
8	800	822	836	684	724	754	

Table 12. STAR Cut Score Equivalents

Classification Accuracy

Table 13 summarizes results from the classification accuracy statistics described in Table 1. These are diagnostics used to evaluate the accuracy of using the Renaissance STAR test scores to classify students as proficient (Meets Expectations and Exceeds Expectations) or not proficient (Does Not Meet Expectations and Approaches Expectations) on the SC READY Mathematics and ELA summative assessments. The overall classification accuracy statistics range from 0.86 to 0.89, and the AUC statistics are above 0.93 at all grade levels. These diagnostics provide convincing evidence of good classification accuracy for using the linked STAR scores to estimate students' proficiency status on the SC READY assessments at grades 3-8.

	Overall	False	False						
Grade	Classification	Positive	Negative	Sensitivity	Specificity	Precision	AUC		
	Accuracy	Rate	Rate						
Mathematics									
3	0.86	0.16	0.12	0.88	0.84	0.88	0.93		
4	0.89	0.13	0.10	0.90	0.87	0.88	0.95		
5	0.87	0.13	0.14	0.86	0.87	0.86	0.94		
6	0.89	0.09	0.15	0.85	0.91	0.86	0.95		
7	0.89	0.10	0.14	0.86	0.90	0.83	0.94		
8	0.86	0.11	0.19	0.81	0.89	0.83	0.93		
ELA									
3	0.88	0.12	0.13	0.87	0.88	0.90	0.95		
4	0.87	0.14	0.12	0.88	0.86	0.90	0.94		
5	0.87	0.16	0.11	0.89	0.84	0.87	0.94		
6	0.89	0.11	0.11	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.95		
7	0.87	0.12	0.14	0.86	0.88	0.89	0.94		
8	0.86	0.16	0.13	0.87	0.84	0.87	0.93		

Table 13. Classification Accuracy Results

CONCLUSIONS

It is important to note that equipercentile linking is a statistical procedure used to facilitate interpretation of scores on the SC READY Mathematics and ELA assessments and the Renaissance STAR Mathematics and Reading assessments. Despite good classification accuracy results from this study, there are still important notes of caution to call out in interpreting and using the linked scores.

First, the two tests are constructed differently with regard to test content specifications, test design, and test purpose. For example, the STAR Reading test measures students' reading strategies and skills in five domains – "Word Knowledge and Skills, Comprehension Strategies and Constructing Meaning, Analyzing Literary Text, Understanding Author's Craft, and Analyzing Argument and Evaluating Text" (Renaissance Learning, 2023, p.16). The SC READY ELA assessment is composed of two subtests – writing and reading, and measures student performance on Reading – Literary Text, Reading – Informational Text, Inquiry, and Writing (SCDE, 2022). The statistical adjustments in linking do not adjust for differences in content. Therefore, scores on the SC READY and Renaissance STAR assessments should not be used interchangeably. The linked scores facilitate comparisons of proficiency status between two assessments, but do not imply equivalence.

Second, while there is a high level of confidence associated with the models, the linked scores are based on a 50% likelihood estimation. This means that not all students who reach a proficiency cut score on STAR will necessarily reach the associated score on SC READY. For example, as we saw in Figure 1 above, while the SC READY 438 cut score for "Meets Expectations" in grade 3 corresponds to the STAR Mathematics score of 590 on average, there is a wide range of STAR scores among students who reached a 438 on SC READY. The interpretation of the estimated 590 STAR Mathematics score is that 3rd grade students with this STAR score have a 50% probability of scoring 438 or higher (i.e., reaching "Meets Expectations") on the SC READY Mathematics test. The results are more accurate for students on average than as associated with individual students.

REFERENCES

- Kolen, M. J., & Brennan, R. L. (2014). *Test equating, scaling, and linking: Methods and practices* (3rd ed.). Springer Science + Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0317-7
- Holland, P. W., & Thayer, D. T. (1987). Notes on the use of log-linear models for fitting discrete probability distributions (Technical Report 87-79). Princeton, NJ: ETS.
- Holland, P. W., & Thayer, D. T. (2000). Univariate and bivariate loglinear models for discrete test score distributions. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *25*, 133–183.
- Renaissance Learning. (2023). *Renaissance Star Assessments™ for Reading Technical Manual.* Wisconsin Rapids, WI: Author.
- Rosenbaum, P. R., & Thayer, D. (1987). Smoothing the joint and marginal distributions of scored two-way contingency tables in test equating. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 40, 43–49.
- South Carolina Department of Education. (2022). SC READY and SCPASS Score Report User's Guide: For Use with Spring 2022 Score Reports. Columbia, SC: Author.